




9 responses



Not accepting responses

Message for respondents


This form is no longer accepting responses

SUMMARY

INDIVIDUAL


How long are you already working on your Ph.D. ?

9 responses




What's your overall appreciation of the tutorial contents?

9 responses




What's your overall appreciation of the teacher ?

9 responses



Which features did you appreciate?


9 responses



- - Origins of computer science
- - Research philosophy (plato's cave...)
- - The art metaphor for scientific met...
- - The comparison of research meth...
- - The spectrum of cases (toy-exam...)
- - The types of research questions (...)
- - The units of analysis discussion
- - The threats to validity discussion


▲ 1/2 ▼

Which features did you appreciate the most? (Select the top3)



Which features were overkill?

6 responses



Open comments about the things you liked

3 responses

very enjoyable and informative overall

The art pieces are a "must-stay" :)

I liked the narrative, the structure, the way it was presented, the examples and the especially the content: it was really concrete

and relevant to what we are doing

Open comments about the things you disliked

2 responses

would like more depth on research methods

I didn't like dislike anything, but I see some points of improvement: (1) the slide design is quite ineffective. Splitting up slides to reduce the text ratio, adding more visual anchors, etc. are quick wins to get the message across even better. (2) Sometimes I missed some "real-world" examples to make things tangible, especially with the discussion on case study sampling & proposition + threats to validity (3) while there was much interaction, it could help to add more questions, "bones" to spark discussion or make them more concrete
