



0. Response rate

1. How long are you already working on your Ph.D. ?



Most were beginners
 A lot of them were 2nd year; which is probably the ideal audience
 Some persons with a PhD were present; valuable during discussions


2. What's your overall appreciation of the seminar contents ?



Seminar is well received


3 selected Excellent; with Excellent was defined as "in the top 5 of things that will influence the way I conduct research"

3. What's your overall appreciation of the teacher ?



Teaching style highly appreciated

4. Which features did you appreciate ?



All features were appreciated by some participants; many appreciated almost all features


Top 3: comparison of research methods; publication process + review process & fish model (tied)

Bottom 3: origins of computer science; research philosophy; art metaphor

Top3 and Bottom 3 is highly consistent with previous editions


5. Which features did you appreciate the most ?

First choice = 3 points; 2nd choice = 2 points; rd choice = 1 points



This is *very* different from the scores in Zurich; where they did a real review afterwards. Apparently this heavily influences the perspective

6. Which topics where overkill ?



Very few features were considered overkill

You can't please all of the people all of the time: the art metaphor mentioned here were also in the "most appreciated" list

Liked / Dislike	Open comments
+	This has been a great tutorial. I must appreciate the speaker's efforts in making such a wonderful tutorial. Even though I was a faculty member and was aware of most of the things, I was surprised the way Prof. Demeyer presented the contents. I can image how much time he has spent in making the materials. People use to say tutorials are useless. However, this tutorial proved this wrong. Last week I was at Limerick for WCRE 2011 and one of the senior faculty members also pointed out that tutorials are useless. I use this tutorial as an example to make him believe that his feelings about tutorial are not really based on fact. Well done for a great tutorial. I can just say that our (me and my students) ICSM travel was a great success because of this tutorial!
+	I liked the discussion on the review process, and the role of the referee.
-	I think paper writing is a kind of iterative process, rather than a water-fall process. The discussion (along with the question about when to write the abstract) somehow implied otherwise. This is just my opinion, there may be arguments.
+	Interactivity, contents, audience, teacher
+	The information in this tutorial would be of great help to any PhD student in their first year. There should be a required course about this that students should follow in their first semester. Or at least there should be a tutorial like this at every major SE conference, and advisors should require their students to attend it. Even though I have been in the PhD program for a while now, and I was already aware of many of the things presented here, I still learned a great deal. Also, I believe my life as a PhD student would have been much easier if I would have known all these things from the beginning of my PhD. Great job and I hope you will continue to disseminate this information!
-	The art metaphor was hard to correlate to the actual problems in our field. I also found it to be more of a distraction during the tutorial.
+	I really liked the art metaphor. Very interesting
+	I like the presentation. The metaphors are also very nice.
+	Overall the tutorial was good and informative.
+	The level of detail is great for grad students. All of these topics are very important for them to learn about the culture.
+	I felt that Serge provided a much needed service to the students in this Tutorial. Often, especially as beginning students, our peers forget that we have limited experiences from which to draw and so lack a great deal of the knowledge and wisdom one accumulates from having participated in research for a long period. This tutorial took long strides to try to fill this gap.
+	You enjoyed the whole tutorial.
+	The discussion was good. I guess the presence of other senior scientists and their experiences were great.
-	May be shorten a bit.